

# EXHIBIT Q

Miscellaneous Structural Engineering Services  
Sanibel Bridge Beam Inspections

---

Bridge No. 124115 - Structure B Sanibel Causeway over San Carlos Bay  
2nd Structure South of Toll Plaza


Prepared For:

Lee County

Prepared By:

T.Y. Lin International

January 2013



This report evaluates and makes recommendations of bridge maintenance for Bridge No. 124115 Sanibel Causeway over San Carlos Bay 2nd structure from toll plaza.  
Solicit No.: CN-11-17 Contract No.: 5850



# BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

PREPARED FOR: Lee County

SUBMITTED BY: T. Y. Lin International

## CONTENTS OF REPORT

- |  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| A. Condensed Inspection Report                                   | * F. Channel Profile               |
| B. Comprehensive Report of Deficiencies and Photos               | * G. Fracture Critical Inspections |
| * C. Evaluation of Previous Corrective Action                    | * H. Location Map                  |
| D. Recommended Maintenance Repair and Rehabilitation             | * I. Load Rating Analysis          |
| * E. Methods, Quantities and Costs of Contract Corrective Action | * J. Underwater Report             |
- \* This section is not included in this report.

## REPORT IDENTIFICATION

Bridge No. 124115

Bridge Name: Structure B Sanibel Causeway over San Carlos Bay

Location: 2nd Bridge South of Toll Plaza

- NO     YES  
 This bridge contains fracture critical components.
- NO     YES  
 This bridge is scour critical.
- NO     YES  
 This report identifies deficiencies which require prompt corrective action.

Type of Inspection:     Routine     Interim     Special Evaluation – Beams Only

Field Inspection Date: Above Water 01/26/2013    Under Water N/A


Name of Inspector/Diver	Initials	PE Number	Certified Bridge Inspector Number
Charles W. Elliott (Lead)	<i>CWE</i>		00363
Kenneth F. Ulrich	<i>KU</i>		00288
Farszin Zafarani	<i>FZ</i>	59558	
Emir Modarres	<i>Emm</i>	74130	

Reviewing Bridge Inspection Supervisor

Name Boon Chong P.E.    PE Number 48156    Initials BCC

Confirming Registered Professional Engineer

Name Boon Chong P.E.    PE Number 48156    Signature [Signature]



BRIDGE NAME 124115 Structure B

INSPECTION DATE: 01/26/2013

## Lee County Condensed Bridge Inspection Report

Structure Name: Structure B Sanibel Causeway over San Carlos Bay

Location: 2nd Structure South of Toll Plaza

Prepared For: Lee County Transportation Department

Prepared By: T.Y. Lin International

### Overall NBI Ratings

Note: The following ratings are from the Routine Inspection Report dated 11/16/2011.

Only the Superstructure was evaluated during this inspection that took place from 01/24/2013 to 01/26/2013.

No deficiencies were observed to warrant a change of the overall Rating of 7.

DECK = 7 Good

SUPERSTRUCTURE = 7 Good

SUBSTRUCTURE = 8 Very Good

CHANNEL = 7 Minor Damage

PERF. RATING: Good

SUFF. RATING: 79.0

HEALTH INDEX: 99.3

Note: Refer to chart on next page for Numerical Condition Rating Definitions

### Scope:

The purpose of this Special Evaluation Inspection was to review and document deficiencies in the Beams/Girders of the Superstructure. The beam evaluations were performed over three days using the 50ft. Under Bridge Inspection truck by two CBI or PE qualified inspectors.

### Summary:

The beams are in overall good condition. Several cracks which have a shear direction appearance were observed in the beams. These cracks primarily extend from the bearing areas and/or near the beam ends. The general appearances of the cracks in the exterior beams which are painted make the condition look worse than the cracks in the interior faces. The more significant lengths of cracking were marked with a permanent marker and dated 01/13.

### Recommendations:

T. Y. Lin. recommends continuing to monitor the cracks in 6 months for any increase or growth in crack size. If findings show an increase in severity after the next inspection then a repair recommendation would most likely be warranted.

### NUMERICAL CONDITION RATING DEFINITIONS

Rating	Condition Category	Description
9	Excellent	
8	Very Good	No problems noted.
7	Good	Some minor problems. Minor maintenance may be needed.
6	Satisfactory	Structural elements show some minor deterioration. Major maintenance is needed.
5	Fair	All primary structural elements are sound but may have minor section loss, cracking, spalling, or scour. Minor rehabilitation may be needed.
4	Poor	Advanced section loss, deterioration, spalling, or scour. Major rehabilitation may be needed.
3	Serious	Loss of section, deterioration, spalling, or scour have seriously affected primary structural components. Local failures are possible. Fatigue cracks in steel or shear cracks in concrete may be present. Repair or rehabilitation required immediately.
2	Critical	Advanced deterioration of primary structural elements. Fatigue cracks in steel or shear cracks in concrete may be present or scour may have removed substructure support. Unless closely monitored, it may be necessary to close the bridge until corrective action is taken.
1	Imminent Failure	Major deterioration or section loss present in critical structural components, or obvious loss present in critical structural components, or obvious vertical or horizontal movement affecting structural stability. Bridge is closed to traffic, but corrective action may be sufficient to put the bridge back in light service.
0	Failed	Bridge is out of service and is beyond corrective action. Replacement necessary.

## Condensed Inspection Report

### Pontis Element 109 - PS Concrete Girders:

The exterior face of Beams 1 and 4 have a paint system. There are some isolated areas of peeling paint, mostly adjacent to the cracks along the bottom flanges.

The poured beam end diaphragms have shrinkage cracks up to 0.020in. wide with some minor spalling. No exposed steel was evident.

The bottom flange has intermittent length longitudinal cracks that are less than 0.01in. wide throughout. These cracks are most evident in the exterior beams possibly from exposure to adverse weather conditions. Refer to Photo 1.

The underside face of the beams top flange has transverse and isolated longitudinal cracks less than 0.010in. wide and lengths up to full flange width (24in. long) with some having light efflorescence.

The beam webs have horizontal cracks less than 0.010in. wide and lengths up to 16in. extending from random beam ends and they are typically located near mid-height.

The beam webs typically have intersecting diagonal cracks which begin in or near the bearing area of the bottom flange extending up and away giving them a shear crack appearance. These cracks are less than 0.010in. wide and typically are less than 5ft. long. Adjacent to these cracks are multiple shorter length diagonal, horizontal and some pattern/map style cracks, all being less than 0.010in. wide. There are random beams having longer more evident diagonal/shear cracks from up to 8.5ft. long and are less than 0.01in. wide. Several cracks were marked with a permanent black marker and dated 01/13 for future reference. Refer to Photos 2 and 3. Also refer to the charts on Pages 5 and 6 for crack quantities and the sketch on Page 7 showing typical cracks locations.

#### Non-Typical findings:

Beam 1-4 east face at north end in addition to the adjacent diagonal/shear cracks has one crack which extends 92in. from the bottom of the beam end/bearing area up and across the bottom face of the top flange. Refer to Photo 4.

**Pontis Element 109 - PS Concrete Girders (Continued):**

The following charts are provided for future reference to track any increase in quantities of the diagonal/shear cracks observed in the beam webs. Only the cracks which were well defined in direction and lengths were quantified.


BEAM	SOUTH END		NORTH END	
	WEST FACE	EAST FACE	WEST FACE	EAST FACE
1-1	2	2	5	3
1-2	1	3	4	4
1-3	3	3	3	5
1-4	3	2	2	4
2-1	5	4	5	4
2-2	2	2	1	1
2-3	2	4	4	2
2-4	3	4	4	7
3-1	5	2	4	4
3-2	3	3	4	2
3-3	2	2	2	2
3-4	4	10	5	6
4-1	4	3	4	1
4-2	3	2	5	2
4-3	2	4	4	4
4-4	1	5	2	3
5-1	5	2	6	3
5-2	2	2	4	2
5-3	4	5	6	5
5-4	4	6	5	5
6-1	4	2	5	2
6-2	3	3	3	2
6-3	2	1	2	2
6-4	5	6	3	5
7-1	3	5	4	3
7-2	3	2	2	3
7-3	3	3	0	0
7-4	2	6	3	6
8-1	4	3	4	1
8-2	2	2	1	2
8-3	1	3	2	1
8-4	2	7	3	6
9-1	3	2	4	4
9-2	4	2	1	0
9-3	2	2	2	2
9-4	4	7	1	6

**Pontis Element 109 - PS Concrete Girders (Continued):**

BEAM	SOUTH END		NORTH END	
	WEST FACE	EAST FACE	WEST FACE	EAST FACE
10-1	4	3	4	3
10-2	2	2	2	2
10-3	2	3	2	2
10-4	4	4	3	4
11-1	3	3	5	3
11-2	2	1	3	3
11-3	3	3	2	2
11-4	2	4	3	5
12-1	6	4	4	2
12-2	4	4	5	3
12-3	3	4	3	4
12-4	2	3	0	4
13-1	3	6	2	1
13-2	3	4	3	3
13-3	0	2	3	2
13-4	3	3	0	3

### BEAM SKETCHES

Note: The following shows the general direction and locations of the cracks in the beam webs and flanges:



**Legend:**

Blue line ( - - - - - ) indicates horizontal crack extending from beam end near mid height of web.

Gold line ( solid ) indicates short longitudinal cracks along bottom flanges.

Purple line ( ——— ) indicates diagonal cracks from top of web down and away.

Red Line ( - - - - - ) indicate diagonal/shear cracks in beam webs extending from bearing areas or beam ends.

PHOTOS



Photo 1 – Typical longitudinal cracks in bottom flanges of beams




Photo 2 – Typical width of diagonal/shear cracks in beams

PHOTOS



Photo 3 – Typical diagonal/shear cracks in beams




Photo 4 – Diagonal/shear crack extending from bottom bearing area across top flange

**RECOMMENDED MAINTENANCE REPAIR AND REHABILITATION**

Based on the findings of the bridge inspection from 1/23/2013 to 01/26/2013, the following actions are recommended:

- (1) Continue to monitor cracks in the beams for any increase in severity by performing a follow up inspection in six months.
- (2) Apply a protective coating over all cracks in the beam webs extending from beam ends.