

9. **CONSENT AGENDA**

- a. Approval of minutes – May 19, 2009 Regular Meeting

**SANIBEL CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING  
MAY 19, 2009**

Mayor Denham called the meeting to order at 9:03 a.m.

Councilman Harrity gave the Invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Members present: Mayor Denham, Councilman Harrity and Councilman Jennings.

**Request to excuse Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas**

Mayor Denham reported that Vice Mayor Ruane was absent and was attending training for the Florida Police Pension Board. He further advised that Councilman Pappas is also absent from the meeting.

Councilman Harrity made a motion, seconded by Councilman Jennings, to excuse Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas.

The motion carried.

**Public Comments**

Karen Storjohann spoke to her concern regarding revisions to the 2009 Shared Use Path Master Plan. She stated that the number one concern was the width of 8 to 10 feet and should be changed prior to distribution of the Plan.

Ms. Zimomra, City Manager reported that at City Council's request the Master Plan was finalized and a statement was added to the report that it is a living document that can be changed at any time.

Discussion ensued regarding the master plan as an agenda item and should be discussed at the proper time, the document would be continually revised and before a path was widened a proposal would have to come before Council, and Ms. Zimomra stated that the Parks and Recreation Committee had oversight not implementation responsibilities.

Ed Siebert spoke to (1) the need for minimum mitigation on Sanibel and used the old Schoolhouse property as an example; (2) the Shared Use Paths should have signs stating Shared Use Path and a yellow center line.

Judy Michie, Cottages to Castles, spoke to County revenues being distributed at Tourist Development Council meetings. She asked for a breakdown of where these revenues come from and was told this information wasn't available. Ms. Michie wanted to know how much money is directed into the 5% bed tax coffer that comes from Sanibel and Captiva. She asked City Council to direct the City Manager to request this report.

Discussion ensued regarding Ms. Michie could request the report, Ms. Zimomra stated the reports were done by ZIP Codes and advised Ms. Michie to make a public records request and ask for everything that had been collected under the 33957 ZIP code. Ms. Michie asked if it was possible that instead of issuing hurricane passes each year the City could issue yearly stickers to be placed on the passes the same as is done for handicapped passes and car licenses. She suggested this could save money, and Ms. Zimomra answered that was a possible savings.

**Council Comments**

Councilman Jennings spoke to the drought and recent rain. He also spoke to Island Night and that Mr. Bailey was disappointed because of the rain.

**Correspondence of May 12, 2009 from Planning Commission Vice Chair, Dr. Phillip Marks.**

A letter from Dr. Marks was included in the agenda packet and is part of the record.

## **First Reading**

**ORDINANCE 09-008 AMENDING CITY OF SANIBEL ORDINANCE NO. 05-011 RELATING TO ELECTRIC PERSONAL ASSISTIVE MOBILITY DEVICES (“EPAMDs”); SETTING FORTH FINDINGS; DETERMINING THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF AN EPAMD BY AN INDIVIDUAL WHO IS DISABLED FOR MOBILITY PURPOSES AS DEFINED IN THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING THAT SUCH USE SHALL BE AN EXCEPTION TO THE GENERAL PROHIBITION ON THE USE AND OPERATION OF EPAMDs WITHIN THE CITY OF SANIBEL; SETTING FORTH THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA TO QUALIFY FOR SUCH EXCEPTION; SETTING FORTH ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS ON THE USE AND OPERATION OF AN EPAMD; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT AND SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE**

Ms. Zimomra read the title of Ordinance 09-008.

City Council set the second reading and public hearing will be June 2, 2009 at 9:15 a.m.

## **Discussion regarding the paving of Centre Street**

Ms. Zimomra spoke to the following:

- Recommendation from the Planning Commission as part of the deliberations regarding development of a CHR project
- Material regarding the process for paving streets, the cost of paving and maintenance

Discussion ensued regarding comments received from citizens and the majority was not in favor of paving Centre Street.

### **Public Comment**

Larry Strange questioned the 1/3 and 2/3 cost responsibilities. He also asked what it would cost.

Mr. Cuyler stated that 1/3 was tax money; and 2/3 was paid by the benefiting property owners or adjacent property owners.

Mr. Castle said the City would determine who the benefiting property owners were. He stated the cost was approximately \$55,525 for the entire street.

Mayor Denham asked how many parcels are involved. Mr. Castle answered there were approximately 15.

Graydon Scott opposed to paving Centre Street citing the uniqueness of the neighborhood, increased traffic and increased spending as reasons not to pave.

Les Forney spoke to the benefit of paving Centre Street, but felt the City should be responsible for the cost.

John Carney spoke to there being three large neighborhoods sharing traffic patterns and the cost of paving should be borne by more than one community.

Warren Schwab spoke against paving Centre Street but asked the City if paving was done that an environmentally friendly surface be considered and that the City pay for paving. Mr. Schwab suggested that a stop sign on Palm Street be changed to a stop sign on Centre Street.

John Costanzo spoke against paving Centre Street.

Discussion ensued regarding the City procedure for paving streets that requires 80% of the people on the street to request paving, could “No Through Traffic” signs could be put up, Ms. Zimomra answered that “No Through Traffic” signs were not enforceable, could the signs be put up anyway, and Ms. Zimomra answered that the signs could be installed, appears that people don’t want the paving and doubts if 80% of the residents would vote for

paving, but questioned whether residents would change their mind if the City paid for paving, the procedure for City Council discussions and the way government operates in a Parliamentary procedure.

Mayor Denham made a motion, seconded by Councilman Jennings, Council would not ask the City Manager to proceed with any further action regarding paving Centre Street but, if necessary, that as additional residents move in, Council may want to revisit the issue.

The motion carried with Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas being excused.

### **Consent Agenda**

- c. **RESOLUTION 09-059 APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENT/TRANSFER NO.2009-038 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE** (to budget and appropriate \$7,000 from the Sanibel Captiva Kiwanis and \$150 from Kathy Smith for recreation center scholarships. The budget amendment increases the FY 09 budget by \$7,150)
- d. **RESOLUTION 09-060 APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENT/TRANSFER NO. 2009-039 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE** (to budget: 1) accept and appropriate \$1,624.60 from the Sanibel-Captiva Kiwanis for a plaque at Community Park; 2) and transfer \$8,980 from the General Fund reserve for contingency for the purchase and installation of lightning protection equipment at Community Park. This budget amendment increases the FY 09 revenue by \$1,624.60)
- e. **RESOLUTION 09-061 APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENT/TRANSFER NO. 2009-040 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE** (to budget a \$12,689.71 refund to Trails in Motion, Inc., of donated funds for the shared-use path master plan. This amendment does not increase or decrease the FY 09 budget)
- f. **RESOLUTION 09-062 APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENT/TRANSFER NO. 2009-041 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE** (To correct a clerical error on Budget Amendment 2009-020, passed by Resolution 09-021 on February 17, 2009. Budget amendment 2009-020 listed the amount of the transfer from the Sanibel Isles Canal Dredging Fund to the General Fund to reimburse the General Fund for costs incurred in May 2007 for advertising the creation of a new assessment district as \$11,382. Actual costs incurred were \$11,832.11. This amendment increases the FY 09 budget by \$450)
- g. **RESOLUTION 09-063 APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENT/TRANSFER NO. 2009-042 AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE** (to roll-forward actual FY 2008 ending fund balance (pursuant to audited financial statements) to FY09 beginning fund balance for all funds. These amounts, which are more or (less) than the FY09 budget are being appropriated to FY09 ending fund balance to provide increases or (decreases) in fund balances available to be carried forward to FY10. This amendment increases the FY09 budget by \$9,094,629 although no new or additional funds are appropriated by this amendment. The changes in fund balance are: 1) General Fund, increase by \$3,251,914; 2) special revenue funds, increase by \$73,650; 3) debt services funds, increase by \$7,396; 4) capital project funds, increase by \$434,577, sewer system increase by \$5,332,087 due to a reclassification between restricted and unrestricted funds and; 5) beach parking fund, decreased by \$4,995)

Ms. Zimomra read the titles of Resolutions 09-059; 09-060; 09-061; 09-062 and 09-063.

Mayor Denham made a motion, seconded by Councilman Harrity, to adopt Resolutions 09-059, 09-060, 09-061, 09-062 and 09-063.

The motion carried with Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas being excused.

- a. Approval of minutes – April 21, 2009 Regular Meeting

- b. Request from Friends of Don to co-sponsor the 2009 Annual Independence Day Parade and wavier Special Events fees in the amount of \$1,800.

Mayor Denham made a motion, seconded by Councilman Harrity, to approve Consent Agenda items (a) April 21, 2009 minutes, and (b) co-sponsorship of Independence Day parade and waive Special Events fee in the amount of \$1,800.

The motion carried with Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas being excused.

## **Old Business**

### **Water Quality Issues**

#### **Reports from City Council members**

Mayor Denham spoke to the following:

- Continue to track what is going on in Tallahassee
- The Healthy Beach Program bill was waiting for the Governor's signature
- Trying to get the Governor to come to Sanibel and sign the Healthy Beach bill in Sanibel
- Anguish over the Fertilizer Bill with minor exceptions to some language
- The Sierra Club lobbying for the Governor not to sign this bill
- The pre-emption issues were minor
- Legislative Council in Tallahassee had done a good job for Sanibel this past year

#### **Letter from Governor Crist concerning City Council's recommendation of Wayne Daltry for the SFWMD**

Mayor Denham spoke to the following:

- Wayne Daltry would represent Sanibel and the Region very well
- Governor Crist had seen the letter but, to date, no determination has been made

## **Staff Reports**

### **U.S. Sugar Lands Acquisition Update**

#### **Update on U.S. Sugar Lands Acquisition**

James Evans, Biologist Natural resources Department gave the following report:

- Natural Resources Department employees watched the meeting via video conference
- The governing board voted to acquire the lands
- This purchase involves 73,000 acres at a cost of \$536,000,000 with an option to purchase an additional 107,000 acres within ten years
- Another option was that if land was purchased within three years the fixed cost would be \$7400/acre
- There were lease back conditions for seven years at \$158/acre
- The district could terminate a portion of the lease base agreement
- The new proposal would keep about 1,700 jobs in the Clewiston/Hendry County areas for the next decade
- The vote was 6 to 1, Mike Collins voting against the acquisition
- Mr. Evans supported Mr. Cuyler's recommendation to send a letter to all the governing board members who supported the acquisition

Discussion ensued regarding Congress closer to putting money into the Everglades restoration, There were 9 ideas regarding how the land could be used, Council should listen to Dr. Loflin's advice and decide what appears to be the best suggestion, (1) take this as a significant objective going forward and (2) seek citizens' help, Mr. Evans stated that 7 of the 9 proposals had a 95% reduction in the high flows, the flows that are most devastating to our estuary, Council requested that staff advise City Council of the process, how this would be decided, how we should voice the communities' opinions and would this be decided at a Board meeting, Mr. Evans said he believes the group that was involved in river grass planting would break the ideas into the best few and then there would be a single recommendation submitted to the Governing Board, it could be a combination of a few of the ideas, Dr. Loflin was in favor of the estuary driven Everglades Restoration project idea, how would the public get involved, Mr. Evans

stated the planning meetings were public; however, many of these meetings were held on the East Coast, and all interested parties working in the same direction.

### **Appointment of Paul Reynolds, Sanibel resident to the W.R.A.C.**

Ms. Zimomra announced the appointment of Paul Reynolds to the W.R.A.C.

Discussion ensued regarding wishing Mr. Reynolds well and Mr. Evans congratulated Mr. Reynolds and stated this is a very important committee for Sanibel.

The meeting was recessed from 10:02 a.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 10:15 a.m.

### **New Business**

#### **Staff representation and recommendation of revised Sewer Feasibility Study, partial Liquidation Plan and 3% increase to Sewer and Reuse rates in accordance with City ordinance**

Ms. Zimomra spoke to the following:

- Over a decade ago Sanibel citizens decided they would have a centralized sewer system
- The construction process was changed because of hurricanes, bridge failures, etc. but for the most part Sanibel has systematically proceeded with the plan
- Things that increased costs were: bridge restrictions; a hurricane caused a complete shut down; and when the switch was made to the forced main
- Another reason the project has worked effectively was because the City used a firm that had assisted with a long-term financial stability plan
- Two years ago City Council established a disaster fund for the sewer system
- When the sewer system was first initiated several million dollars were borrowed from the General Fund Pooled Cash
- The sewer system had now reached a financial stability level where debt was being repaid at a rate of \$350,000/yea
- Important to look at the 10, 20 and 30 year impact
- The Ordinance had a built-in rate increase of 3.0%/year
- The City has funded most of the construction through the State Revolving Loan Fund
- A major recommendation was to repay some of the debt which will provide an opportunity to fund future projects using the Stated Revolving Loan Fund at a very low interest rate
- The purpose of including this item on today's agenda was to get the information out in the public domain
- No vote necessary today
- Would come back to City Council in the summer with whatever legislation was necessary

Tara L. Hollis, GAI Consultants reported the following:

- This is an Annual update
- Proposed Operating & Maintenance Expenses and 5-Year Capital Improvement
- Program 5-Yr Capital Improvement Plan
- SRF Loan Repayment Sources
- SRF Debt Service Coverage Requirements
- Continued Repayment of Reuse Advances from the City's Pooled Cash.
  - 3.0% Annual Rate Adjustment
  - Long-Term Rate Projections
  - Bad Debt Allowance.

## Scenarios:

Scenario 1A – Assumes paying all SRF debt service in semi-annual payments and the projected debt service coverage on the SRF loans if no rate increases in excess of the annual 3.0% adjustment are adopted throughout the projection period.

Scenario 1B – Assumes paying all SRF debt service in semi-annual payments and the projected debt service coverage on the SRF loans including rate increases in excess of the annual 3.0% adjustment as needed to meet coverage on the SRF debt service.

Scenario 2 – Assumes paying of the SRF debt service associated with Phase IIA in FY 2009 utilizing available balances in the ad valorem tax fund, associated debt service reserve fund and other unrestricted cash, as needed.

Ms. Hollis reviewed wastewater user rate comparisons of other cities and counties.

## Findings

1. Based on current SRF Debt Service schedule, rate Increases in excess of the 3.0% annual adjustment are required to meet coverage.
2. As in Scenario 2, the City could repay the remaining debt service for Phase II! (\$6.1 million) from balances in the ad valorem, restricted cash, and unrestricted cash funds in FY 2009. This will enable the City to meet all coverage requirements on the remaining SRF Loans without requiring rate adjustments in excess of the 3.0% annual adjustment.

## Recommendations

1. Repay the remaining debt service on Phase IIA loan by the end of the current Fiscal year.
2. The City implements the annual rate adjustment of 3.0% for Fiscal Year 2010.
3. The City continues to monitor commercial flows and bad debt expense to ensure the City has included an appropriate contingency amount in its annual budget and financial projections.

Discussion ensued regarding were the reclaim rights revenue an offset, Ms. Zimomra answered yes, the revenue was received and it was increased over the last few years, Ms. Hollis stated that beginning next year projections show about \$200,000 coming in, was the SRF Debt Service for the sewer only, Ms. Zimomra stated the debt was for sewer only, sewer operates as an enterprise fund, other than the initial loan there was no co-mingling of funds in the payback,

scenario 1b requires us to substantially increase over the 3.0%, scenario 2 was being recommended, there was money in reserve to cover this, was this money only in the enterprise fund?, Ms. Hollis answered yes, if money was left in investments it wasn't earning very much interest and if the money was used to pay off debt it would be worthwhile, Ms. Hollis said that currently the City is paying 3.0% on the SRF debt and it is earning less than 1.0% on its money, judgment was made that there may be a \$1.1 million difference. Ms. Edwards, Finance Director, stated that the savings if the loan is paid would be \$1.1 million, and a savings in ad valorem taxes to the public of \$550,000, was there a down side for the City?, Ms. Edwards answered that the down side was there were some capital projects that were paid for in cash and the City had to make sure those projects stay on budget, are the recommendations from the City?, Ms. Edwards answered City staff supports the recommendation, Scenario 2 states the City stays with the 3.0% for the life of the project, Ms. Zimomra stated if Council stayed within the 3.0% there was existing authorized legislation, but if Council decided to go over the 3.0% a resolution would be necessary, staff would propose the resolution be brought back at the July meeting, Council thought scenario 2 was best, anytime debt could be reduced there was a testament to the management of the system, and there should be a place on the City's website to highlight.

## Public Comment

Tom Krekel asked (1) if the 3.0%/year to hedge against inflation was the reason why there would be a larger amount of cash available, i.e., the \$6 million, Ms. Zimomra answered that the enterprise fund had to balance, she added that the rate increase covers most of the maintenance and it would come from property taxes to pay the debt fee off early; she also added that the 3.0% covers, for the most part, things like labor, materials for operating the facility and as systems age there would be more maintenance, and the beauty of the plan was that there would be funds to maintain the system.

Mayor Denham made a motion, seconded by Councilman Harrity, to bring a resolution back to the July 21, 2009 meeting for consideration outlining today's discussion.

The motion carried with Vice Mayor Ruane and Councilman Pappas being excused.

## **City Manager**

### **Information Items**

#### **Resort Housing Re-development**

##### **Establishment of a time-line**

##### **Establishment of a Parameters direction to the Planning Commission**

##### **Process**

Ms. Zimomra stated that staff wanted to make sure that these three topics were what Council wanted to discuss and if Council agreed, these topics would be discussed during the June 2, 2009 City Council meeting.

Mayor Denham suggested the following:

- Council might want to consider using the Buildback Ordinance as a guide.
- Residential density cannot be increased (this would be a parameter).
- Property owners would not suffer the loss of a dwelling unit or reduction in size as a result of redevelopment.
- Base line – how can the structure be rebuilt up to its lawful number of units and up to its lawfully existing floor area but within the height limits (this would be a parameter).
- Buildback – there was an issue when Buildback regulations were being developed with the height limits to allow the development to work with current flood regulations. Council should clarify this ahead of time to give the Planning Commission a baseline and to clarify that the latest building standards for redevelopment will be applied.
- Asked City Council members to think through this process.
- Regarding a Timeline – this should be completed, including Council approval, in 9 months.
  - a. Once Council sets the parameters, the Commission should consider and agree to the parameters – this would take 1 month.
  - b. Council would take 1 month to say we are now on the same page.
  - c. The Planning Commission would be given three months to develop a draft Ordinance to be submitted to Council.
  - d. Council would take 1 month to debate and agree to the Ordinance. During this time there would be adequate opportunities for public comment and discussion.
  - e. If the Ordinance needs to go back to the Commission, this should take no longer than 1 month.
  - f. The final Ordinance and approval and public meetings (the normal First and Second readings) should take no longer than 6 weeks.
  - g. The total time should be less than 9 months.

Discussion ensued regarding Council discussing the above-mentioned parameters during the June 2, 2009 City Council meeting, and the City Manager agreed to this scheduled, Councilman Jennings requested (1) the square footage of all new residences built on Sanibel during the last five years; and (2) any homes that have added square footage., Ms. Zimomra stated the information would be provided, the Planning Commission's process for redevelopment of Resort Housing would include questions regarding building sizes, square footage, numbers of units, etc., questions raised by Councilman Jennings have been discussed during the Planning Commission meetings, should come to Council within the next month., the primary issue for 86-43 is the definition of a neighborhood, and discussion should include how can an aging resort have the ability to make things look new and attractive when the cost of running a resort continually escalates, several opinions, (1) don't ever do anything different; and (2) let's throw out all the rules - we need to have something that is attractive. There should be some middle ground.

##### **Public Comment**

Sonja Smith, CASI, stated that an important issue for her group was whether or not improvements would be allowed to existing properties, the Sanibel Plan posted on the web site says staff anticipates doing two things as part of this process; 1) analyze existing conditions and trends associated with the maintenance and improvement of resort properties; and 2) anticipates formulating a list and an analysis of nonconforming properties.

Judy Michie, Cottages to Castles, spoke to would prefer that the Resort Housing Redevelopment process last no longer than a year.

### **Budget Calendar**

Ms. Zimomra reported there are no changes to the Budget Calendar which is included in the meeting packet material.

### **Building Department Monthly Revenue Report**

### **Building Department Monthly Permit and Inspections Report**

### **Planning Department Permit Activity Report**

### **Planning Department Code Enforcement Activity Report**

Ms. Zimomra stated that these activity reports were included with the meeting material. The items included in these reports are watched very closely.

### **Staff report relative to naming Bridgid Bock Peace Park**

Ms. Zimomra reported that a former Mayor asked why there wasn't a sign at Bridgid Bock Peace Park. She presented a photo of the sign and minutes stating when the Park was named.

### **Causeway count for March 2009**

Ms. Zimomra reported that the count is included in the meeting packet.

### **Update regarding the Recreation Center Requests for Proposal (RFPs)**

Ms. Zimomra spoke to the following:

- RFP's have been sent out according to Council's timeline
- Modifications to operation have been made to have a continuum of fitness opportunities. Numbers are good for the 7:15 A.M. land aerobics
- 10 potential respondents have picked up RFQ's for programming; 6 potential respondents have picked up RFQ's for personal training
- Council will discuss this further during the June 2, 2009 meeting

### **Specifications for contractual right-of-way mowing**

Ms. Zimomra said that specifications for contractual right-of-way mowing are out on the street; the City is waiting for prices.

### **Direction to staff regarding amending Business Tax receipts legislation**

Ms. Zimomra said direction was needed from City Council. He further stated that Council, under State Legislation, had the opportunity every other year to make adjustments to this tax. She advised that this was formerly known as the Occupational License. She also stated that staff analysis had been done regarding an increase costs which includes personnel and postage, and if an adjustment isn't made now it would be 24 months before another adjustment can be made. She further stated a question was received from a Council member; What was the difference in actual expenses because if you go to the maximum 5%, this would generate \$14,000, but the actual increase would be \$9500 or 3.5% that would cover the actual expense increase over the last 24 months. She explained that an ordinance would be necessary to make the increase, and with Council's approval an ordinance would be brought back for first reading during the June 2, 2009 City Council meeting.

Discussion ensued regarding this increase would cover next year; however, this is a two year span, what about any increases beyond this year?, is 3.5% enough?, Mr. Cuyler reported that you can make an increase only once every two years, the minimum was 3.5%, could the increase be 5%, and Ms. Zimomra proposed to bring this back at the 5% and if Council wanted to amend the ordinance they could do so.

### **March 2009 Visitor Profile and Occupancy Analysis**

Ms. Zimomra reported that a letter was sent to the County Commissioners advising them that the City intends to have Mr. Ruane serve in this City seat that will be open in July.

### **Status of Shared Use Path Master Plan distribution**

Ms. Zimomra The City Manager reported that, as Council approved, the 2009 Shared Use Path Master Plan is available on the Web Site and arrangements are being made to have copies printed.

### **City Attorney's Report**

There was no report.

### **Council Comments**

Claudia Burns asked if there would be public comment during the second reading of Ordinance 09-008 regarding "EPAMDs".

Mayor Denham answered there would be.

Karen Storjohann said it doesn't make sense to have a Shared Use Path that is wider than a street and it doesn't make sense to send a report to a Committee when clarifications are needed to statements in the report regarding the widths of the Shared Use Paths. Drivers of motorized vehicles will go onto the Shared Use Paths because they will mistake them for roadways. Ms. Storjohann said she is not asking City Council to review the whole document; just change the wording to a minimum of 8 feet.

Mayor Denham said that during the process of implementation these things will get screened out. He would prefer that City Council not spend anymore time reviewing the document

### **Council Members' Report**

Councilman Harrity stated he would like to add a discussion item to the June 2, 2009 agenda regarding the Cultural Heritage Center, some of their concerns and how this affects the historical implications of Sanibel.

Mayor Denham and Councilman Jennings agreed.

Councilman Jennings asked if the process to have an Ordinance that would incrementally over time increase licensing fees should be started in case the State government decides to pre-empt cities in the ability to increase fees. Councilman Jennings spoke to the process for excusing Council members.

Mayor Denham stated that this should be addressed during the budgeting process. Mayor Denham said there is a policy for excusing Council members. Councilman Jennings said Council should include what the Attorney General said in his last report regarding attendance and Council should make a statement.

There be no further business the meeting was adjourned at 11:24 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by,

Pamela Smith, MMC  
City Clerk